# EMBRYOLOGY OF CHRYSOTHAMNUS (ASTEREAE, COMPOSITAE) 

Loran C. Anderson

Embryological information is scanty on the wide-spread Chrysothamnus of western America. "Embryology" is used here in its broad sense, following Davis (1966) and others. Snow (1945) reported details of gametogenesis for a population referrable to C. nauseosus (Pallas) Britt. ssp. albicaulis (Nutt.) H. \& C. Although gametogenesis is similar in some other subspecies of C. nauseosus, it certainly is not homogeneous throughout the genus. Embryological data on all species of Chrysothamnus are recorded here as part of an intensive study (Anderson, 1970) on the floral anatomy of the genus. Those features of embryology that characterize the genus or the entire family are treated only briefly.

## Methods and Materials

Over 90 populations were studied. Most materials were preserved in FPA in conjunction with general anatomic studies. That fixative was acceptable but caused considerable shrinkage in the embryo sacs. Killing heads in Craf V (Sass, 1958) resulted in much better preservation. Fixed tissues were dehydrated in a graded TBA series, embedded in paraplast, and cut at $9-12 \mu$, depending on age of the flowers. Sectioned tissues used in general studies were stained in safranin-fast green with tannic acid-ferric chloride mordanting. Tissues prepared specifically for this study were stained in Harris hematoxylin followed by fast green and mounted in permount. Many of the slides were prepared by Kent Fish.

## Embryology

Growth of flowers in one head is closely synchronized. Although individual flowers develop acropetally on the receptacle (Snow, 1945), they usually undergo either microsporogenesis or megasporogenesis simultaneously regardless of differences in individual flower size. The least synchronous species is C. linifolius Greene. In it, older flowers of the head have mature embryo sacs when the youngest flower undergoes meiosis in the ovule.

Anthers in Chrysothamnus are tetrasporangiate. Method of wall formation is the dicotyledonous type (Davis, 1966) with a glandular tapetum. Many aspects of microsporogenesis have been considered earlier (Anderson, 1966).

The ovules are anatropus, unitegmic, and tenuinucellar. Four cellular megaspores result from meiosis. The chalazal megaspore usually pro-
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duces the embryo sac; however, a functional micropylar megaspore was observed in one sample of C. linifolius (Anderson 2738, KSC). The nucellus is intact at the tetrad stage but breaks down concurrently with three megaspores before the enlarged functional megaspore divides. On disintegration of the nucellus, an endothelium (integumentary tapetum) develops; its cells are often binucleate.

Taxa of Chrysothamnus develop a Polygonum type embryo sac. Mature embryo sacs (figs. 1-8) are typically long and narrow. The longest observed (fig. 1) was $495 \mu(44 \%$ of total ovule length at that age). In most samples embryo sacs range from $150-300 \mu(15-20 \%$ of total ovule length). The wider embryo sac shown in fig. 7 probably is a post-fertilization condition.

The synergids are somewhat vacuolated and usually extend into the micropyle, especially in C. nauseosus (fig. 7). The egg is characteristically overarched by the central cell (figs. 2, 7) in which the polar nuclei fuse prior to fertilization. Average antipodal size is $20-30 \mu$. The largest is usually the chalazal antipodal, over $200 \mu$ in C. viscidiflorus (Hook.) Nutt. ssp. lanceolatus (Nutt.) Piper (fig. 1) and C. viscidiflorus ssp. puberulus (D.C.Eat.) H. \& C. The largest basal antipodal observed was $80 \mu$ (fig. 2). Occasionally, one of the interstitial antipodals is the largest, but never over $50 \mu$ long.

The antipodal apparatus in the megagametophyte varies widely. Three trends were observed: 1 , antipodal cells reduced to two, one with two nuclei (fig. 8); 2, nuclei multiplied so that one or more of the three antipodals are multinucleate (fig. 2), and some individual nuclei may be multinucleolate; 3 , divisions continued to more than three antipodals (fig. 3). The last two trends are interrelated; several antipodals may be present with one or more multinucleate (fig. 4). Antipodal cell number and frequency for all species are listed in Table 1.

In the Compositae, antipodal multiplaction often results in persistent, haustorial cells (Davis, 1966). In Chrysothamnus, truly haustorial antipodals are infrequent. A strong lateral haustorium was observed only in C. viscidiflorus ssp. humilis (Greene) H. \& C. (fig. 5). An indication of a weak lateral haustorium was seen in C. linifolius (fig. 6). The production of an haustorium by elongation of the chalazal antipodal (figs. $1-5)$ is more frequent than lateral haustoria. If the chalazal antipodal surpasses the endothelium, it is considered haustorial. Nonetheless, haustorial activity is not prolonged in Chrysothamnus because the antipodals usually become inactive and disintegrate shortly after fertilization or

[^0]Table 1. Number of Antipodals and their Observed Frequency in Embryo Sacs in Chrysothamnus.

|  | 2 | 3 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nauseosi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. parryi | (2)…(1) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$.(3) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$.(2) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$.........(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. nauseosus | (6)…(3)…(8)…(5)…(3)…(3)…(2)…(2) $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHRYSOTHAMNUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. Iinitolius | (3) $\ldots$ (1) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots$ (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. spathulatus | (1)...(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. albidus | (1)…(2)…(1)...........(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. greenei | (2) $\cdots$ (1) $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$. ${ }^{(2) \cdots \cdots(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. viscidiflorus | (3)…(1)....(1)...(6)…(4)…(3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PULChelli | (2) $\cdots$ (2) $\cdots$ (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. vasey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. molestus | (1) $\cdots$ (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. depressus | (1)…(1)...(1)...(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. pulchellus | (1) $\cdots$.(1) $\cdots$ (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| punctati |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. paniculatus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. teretifolius | (2) $\cdots$ (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

occasionally prior to fertilization as in Anderson 2927 (KSC, C. nauseosus ssp. albicaulis). Relatively persistent chalazal haustoria were found in C. albidus (Jones) Greene and C. greenei (Gray) Greene.

Shortly after fertilization the embryo sac becomes laterally distended in all taxa (as in fig. 7). Embryogeny is the asterad type. Endosperm formation is nuclear at first. Further growth precipiates wall formation that progresses to form a cellular endosperm.

## Discussion

Size of embryo sacs in Chrysothamnus varys widely. Extremes (figs. 1,8 ) are found in C. viscidiflorus, the only species known to contain polyploids (Anderson, 1966). Polyploidy evidently has no significant bearing on embryo sac size; the largest as well as the smallest come from diploids.

Howe (1959) found lateral haustoria in species of Grindelia, Gutierrezia, and Haplopappus. He used that phenomenon (less frequent than chalazal haustoria in Astereae) to suggest interrelationship of the taxa. Nevertheless, the presence of a lateral haustorium in $C$. viscidiflorus ssp. humilis does not suggest that it is related to those taxa also. It does, however, point to the distinctness of that taxon. In fact, unique features in its floral anatomy reinforced my decision to recognize it as a separate species (Anderson, 1970).

Species are listed in table 1 in a phylogenetic sequence (assumed from gross morphology). Patterns in antipodal numbers are fairly well in accord within sections, except for the unusual bimodal pattern in $C$. paniculatus (Gray) Hall. In section Chrysothamnus, and particularly in the Pulchelli, the average number reflects the assumed phylogeny.

Antipodal apparatus in Nauseosi is especially interesting as both species of that section are considered primitive and have numerous subspecies. In a statistical study of floral features (Anderson and Fisher, in press) C. parryi (Gray) Greene ssp. parryi was determined the most primitive taxon in the genus. If the postulate that an antipodal number of three is primitive, it is noteworthy that embryo sacs in C. parryi ssp. parryi usually have five to seven antipodals. The more primitive taxa of C. nauseosus have megagametophytes with three to five antipodals. In both species the higher numbers of antipodals are found in taxa adapted to xeric environments. If that is a trend, it is not universal; in section Chrysothamnus, C. albidus and C. viscidiforus with two to three antipodals are fairly well adapted to xerism.

Hall (Hall and Clements, 1932) stated C. paniculatus was the most stable and primitive species in the genus. Its uniformity in external morphology is not seen in its embryology. Contrary to Hall's view, that species is very specialized (table 1). I had considered it less so than C. teretifolius (Dur. \& Hilg.) Hall; however, embryological information reinforces a more recent determination (Anderson and Fisher, in press) that it is more specialized.

The close similarity of the Punctati to Haplopappus, section Ericameria (Anderson, 1966), now includes their embryology. Haplopappus propinquus Blake (Raven 16802, RSA) has four antipodals in the megagametophyte, and $H$. trianthus Blake (Anderson 3032, KSC) has five. Similarly, the Nauseosi are close to Haplopappus, section Macronema (Anderson and Reveal, 1966); H. macronema Gray from California (Anderson 2922, KSC) has five to seven antipodals, and another population from Colorado (Anderson 2540, KSC) has 10 antipodals.

In the Astereae, a multinucleate antipodal apparatus is known for several genera related to Chrysothamnus; i.e., Haplopappus (Harling, 1951), Petradoria (Anderson, 1963), and Solidago (Beaudry, 1958). In none, with the possible exception of Haplopappus, is the latitude of variability in the megagametophyte so great as it is in Chrysothamnus.
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# TWO NEW SPECIES OF LAMOUROUXIA (SCROPHULARIACEAE) IN MEXICO 

Wallace R. Ernst and Michael F. Baad

The genus Lamourouxia H. B. K., nom. cons. (Taxon 18: 479-480. 1969), allied to the Rhinanthoideae of Scrophulariaceae, is distributed from northern Mexico through Central America to South America about as far south as Lima, Peru. Of the approximately 26 species, the following two have been studied jointly. They are placed in section Hemispadon Bentham, having long tubular, red corollas with a pair of large anthers and a pair of sterile staminal filaments. Search through the literature and at least 25 herbaria, including the major ones of Europe, has failed to disclose other names or collections for these two species. They are being described here to make the names available before a taxonomic revision and a discussion of their morphological relationships to avoid a longer author citation.

Lamourouxia colimae Ernst \& Baad, sp. nov. Herba suffruticosa, erecta. Folia glabriuscula, elliptica vel ovata, pauce dentata, attenuata basin versus, nervatura supra impressa. Calyx glaber, limbis patulis, late triangulatis. Corolla coccinea, cylindrica, labio superiore bifido, lobis rotundatis parum cuspidatis, non vel minime reflexis, labio inferiore


[^0]:    Figs. 1-8. Mature embryo sacs and endothelium in Chrysothamnus: 1, C. viscidiflorus ssp. lanceolatus (Anderson 2717, KSC) ; 2, C. nauseosus ssp. leiospermus (Anderson 1995, KSC) ; 3, C. pulchellus (Anderson 3213, KSC) ; 4, C. parryi affin. ssp. nevadensis (Anderson 2966, KSC) ; 5, C. viscidiflorus ssp. humilis (Anderson 2950, KSC) ; 6, C. linifolius (Anderson 2500, KSC) ; 7, C. nauseosus ssp. nauseosus (Anderson 2707, KSC) ; 8, C. viscidiflorus ssp. viscidiflorus (Anderson 2900, KSC).

